UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,077	07/29/2003	Nancy Usiak	BSI-513US	5948	
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 03/23/200 STIA	9	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980	CE DA 10402	MENDOZA, MICHAEL G			
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3734		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/629,077	USIAK ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL G. MENDOZA	3734				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>MICHAEL G. MENDOZA</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>JOSEPH MAENNER</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 16 March 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)∏ applicant's representative	;]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: van der Burg 6352553 and McDonald 5676697.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the applicant to send in an amendment including new limitations to read over the prior art to McDonald. The examiner well check for enablement and discuss with SPE to check if the specification and drawing are enabling for the new limitations. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Michael G. Mendoza/						